

Feasibility Study
For
Generation Interconnection
Request
GEN-2007-001

SPP Tariff Studies (#GEN-2007-001)

July, 2007

Executive Summary

<OMITTED TEXT> (Customer) has requested a feasibility study for the purpose of interconnecting 200 MW of wind generation within the control area of Southwestern Public Service (SPS) located in Eddy County, New Mexico. The proposed method and point of interconnection is to add an additional 230 kV line terminal at the existing Seven Rivers 230/115/69 kV Interchange, owned by SPS. The proposed in-service date is December 31, 2009.

Power flow analysis has indicated that for the powerflow cases studied, it is possible to interconnect the 200 MW of generation with transmission system reinforcements within the local transmission system. In order to maintain acceptable reactive power compensation, the customer will need be required to pay for the installation of a combined total of at least 40 Mvar of 34.5 kV capacitor bank(s) to be installed in the Customer's collector substation. Dynamic Stability studies performed as part of the System Impact Study will provide additional guidance as to whether the required reactive compensation can be static or a portion must be dynamic (such as a SVC).

The requirement to interconnect the 200 MW of wind generation consists of adding a new 230 kV line terminal at the existing Seven Rivers Interchange which will include rebuilding the 230 kV facilities to a breaker-and-a-half configuration. The Customer did not propose a specific route for the 230 kV line extending to serve its 230/34.5 kV facilities. It is assumed that obtaining all necessary right-of-way for the new transmission line to serve its facilities will not be a significant expense.

The total minimum cost for building the required facilities for this 200 MW of generation is \$1,644,138. These costs are shown in Table 1. A 230 kV loop is proposed to be constructed from the SPS Seven Rivers substation to the SPS Potash Junction substation with a 2009 completion date. The completion of this 230 kV transmission is dependent upon approval of a New Mexico Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN). Network constraints in the local transmission systems that were identified with this loop in-service are shown in Table 3. These Network constraints will have to be verified with a Transmission Service Request (TSR) and associated studies. Network Constraints are in the local area of the new generation when this generation is sunk throughout the SPP footprint for the Energy Resource (ER) Interconnection request. With a defined source and sink in a Transmission Service Request, this list of Network Constraints will be refined and expanded to account for all Network Upgrade requirements. This cost does not include building the 230 kV line from the Customer 230/34.5 kV collector substation into the Seven Rivers Interchange. This cost also does not include the Customer's 230/34.5 kV collector substation or the 34.5 kV, 40 Mvar capacitor bank(s).

In Table 4, a value of Available Transfer Capability (ATC) associated with each overloaded facility is included. These values may be used by the Customer for future analyses including the determination of lower generation capacity levels that may be installed. When transmission service associated with this interconnection is evaluated, the loading of the facilities listed in this table may be greater due to higher priority reservations. If the loading of a facility is higher, the level of ATC will be lower.

There are several other proposed generation additions in the general area of the Customer's facility. It was assumed in this preliminary analysis that not all of these other projects within the SPS control area will be in service. Those previously queued projects that have advanced to nearly complete phases were included in this Feasibility Study. In the event that another request for a generation interconnection with a higher priority withdraws, then this request may have to be re-evaluated to determine the local Network Constraints.

The required interconnection costs listed in Tables 1 and 2 and other upgrades associated with Network Constraints do not include all costs associated with the deliverability of the energy to final



customers. These costs are determined by separate studies if the Customer submits a Transmission Service Request through Southwest Power Pool's OASIS.



Introduction

<OMITTED TEXT> (Customer) has requested a feasibility study for the purpose of interconnecting 200 MW of wind generation within the control area of Southwestern Public Service (SPS) located in Eddy County, New Mexico. The proposed method and point of interconnection is to add an additional 230 kV line terminal at the existing Seven Rivers 230/115/69 kV Interchange, owned by SPS. The proposed in-service date is December 31, 2010.

Interconnection Facilities

The primary objective of this study is to identify the system problems associated with connecting the plant to the area transmission system. The Feasibility and other subsequent Interconnection Studies are designed to identify attachment facilities, Network Upgrades and other Direct Assignment Facilities needed to accept power into the grid at the interconnection receipt point.

The requirements for interconnection of the 200 MW of generation consist of rebuilding the existing 230 kV facilities to a breaker-and-a-half configuration and adding a new 230 kV line terminal into the Seven Rivers 230/115/69 kV Interchange, owned by SPS. The Customer did not propose a specific route of its 230 kV line to serve its 230/34.5 kV collection system facilities. It is assumed that obtaining all necessary right-of-way for construction of the Customer 230 kV transmission line and the 230/34.5 kV collector substation will not be a significant expense.

The minimum cost for reconfiguring the 230 kV facilities and adding a 230 kV terminal to Seven Rivers is approximately \$1,644,138. These costs are listed in Tables 1 and 2. These estimates will be refined during the development of the System Impact Study based on the final designs. This cost does not include building the Customer's 230 kV transmission line extending from the point of interconnection to serve its 230/34.5 kV collection facilities. This cost also does not include the Customer's 230/34.5 kV collector substation or the 40 Mvar of capacitor bank(s), all of which should be determined by the Customer. The Customer is responsible for these 230 – 34.5 kV facilities up to the point of interconnection. Other Network Constraints in the local transmission systems that were identified are shown in Table 3

These costs do not include any cost that might be associated with short circuit study results or dynamic stability study results. These costs will be determined when and if a System Impact Study is conducted.

A preliminary one-line drawing of each interconnection option and direct assigned facilities are shown in Figure 1.



Interconnection Estimated Costs

TABLE 1: Direct Assignment Facilities

FACILITY	ESTIMATED COST (2007 DOLLARS)
Customer – (1) 230/34.5 kV Customer collector	*
substation facilities.	
Customer – (1) 230 kV transmission line from	
Customer collector substation to the Seven Rivers	*
Interchange.	
Customer – 34.5 kV, 40 Mvar capacitor bank(s) to be	
installed in the Customer 115/34.5 kV collector	*
substation.	
Customer – Right-of-Way for all Customer facilities.	*
TOTAL	*

^{*} Estimates of cost to be determined.

TABLE 2: Required Interconnection Network Upgrade Facilities

FACILITY	ESTIMATED COST (2007 DOLLARS)
SPS – Reconfigure 230 kV facilities for breaker-and-a-half and add (1) 115 kV line terminal to the Seven Rivers Interchange.	\$1,644,138
TOTAL	*

^{*} Estimates of cost to be determined.






FIGURE 1: Proposed Method of Interconnection (Final design to be determined)

Powerflow Analysis

A powerflow analysis was conducted for the facility using modified versions of the 2009 winter model, 2012 summer and winter peak models, and 2017 summer peak model. The output of the Customer's facility was offset in each model by a reduction in output of existing online SPP generation. This method allows the request to be studied as an Energy Resource (ER) Interconnection request. The proposed in-service date of the generation is December 31, 2009. The available seasonal models used were through the 2017 Summer Peak of which is the end of the current SPP planning horizon.

SPS has plans to complete the 230 kV transmission loop in the eastern New Mexico in 2009. The proposed portion of the 230 kV transmission loop will extend from Seven Rivers Interchange to Potash Junction substation. The completion of this loop is contingent upon approval of a New Mexico Public Service Commission Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN). This loop has been included in the analysis of the Customer project. Also included in the analysis of the Customer project is the addition of a base load power plant in New Mexico. Given the assumptions for this study, the analysis of the Customer's project indicates that, given the requested generation level of 200 MW and location, additional criteria violations will occur on the existing SPS transmission system under steady state and contingency conditions in the peak seasons.

In order to maintain a zero reactive power flow exchanged at the point of interconnection, additional reactive compensation is required. The Customer will be required to install a total of 40 Mvar of capacitor bank(s) in the Customer's 230/34.5 kV collector substation on the 34.5 kV bus. Dynamic Stability studies performed as part of the System Impact Study will provide additional guidance as to whether the reactive compensation can be static or a portion must be dynamic (such as a SVC or STATCOM). It is possible that an SVC or STATCOM device will be required at the Customer facility

because of FERC Order 661A Low Voltage Ride-Through Provisions (LVRT) which went into effect January 1, 2006. FERC Order 661A orders that wind farms stay on line for 3-phase faults at the point of interconnection even if that requires the installation of a SVC or STATCOM device.

There are several other proposed generation additions in the general area of the Customer's facility. Some of the local projects that were previously queued were assumed to be in service in this Feasibility Study. Not all local projects that were previously queued and have advanced to nearly complete phases were included in this Feasibility Study.

Powerflow Analysis Methodology

The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) criteria states that: "The transmission system of the SPP region shall be planned and constructed so that the contingencies as set forth in the Criteria will meet the applicable *NERC Planning Standards* for System Adequacy and Security – Transmission System Table I hereafter referred to as NERC Table I) and its applicable standards and measurements".

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\E, single contingencies in portions or all of the modeled control areas of Sunflower Electric Power Corporation (SUNC), Missouri Public Service (MIPU), Westar (WESTAR), Kansas City Power & Light (KCPL), West Plains (WEPL), Midwest Energy (MIDW), Oklahoma Gas and Electric OKGE, American Electric Power West (AEPW), Grand River Dam Authority (GRDA), Southwestern Public Service Company (SPS), Western Farmers Electric Cooperative (WFEC) and other control areas were applied and the resulting scenarios analyzed. This satisfies the 'more probable' contingency testing criteria mandated by NERC and the SPP criteria.



Powerflow Results

TABLE 3: Network Constraints

	·					
AREA	OVERLOADED ELEMENT					
SPS	BAILEY COUNTY REC-EARTH INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - DOUD SUB 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	CASTRO COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#21 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	CUNNINGHAM STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1					
SPS	CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#20 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#21 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	DOUD SUB - SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	LAMB COUNTY REC-SOUTH OLTON - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	PLANT X STATION - TOLK STATION WEST 230KV CKT 1					
SPS	PLANT X STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1					
SPS	SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1					
SPS	TOLK STATION EAST - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1					
SPS	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1					
SPS	Southwestern Public Service					



TABLE 4: Contingency Analysis

SEASON	OVERLOADED ELEMENT	RATING (MVA)	LOADING (%)	ATC (MW)	CONTINGENCY
09SP	None identified at this time.				
12SP	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	150	126	0	AMOCO SWITCHING STATION - YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
12SP	DOUD SUB - SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA 115KV CKT 1	161	117	5	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
12SP	PLANT X STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	252	108	38	LAMB COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK STATION WEST 230KV CKT
12SP	SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	197	106	111	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
12WP	DOUD SUB - SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA 115KV CKT 1	195	101	191	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT	150	137	0	AMOCO SWITCHING STATION - YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	DOUD SUB - SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA 115KV CKT 1	161	132	0	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#21 115KV CKT 1	161	130	0	BAILEY COUNTY REC-EARTH INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1
17SP	PLANT X STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	252	122	0	TOLK STATION EAST - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	SOUTH PLAINS REC-YUMA - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	197	120	0	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	BAILEY COUNTY REC-EARTH INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1	161	119	0	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 230KV CKT 1
17SP	CASTRO COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#21 115KV CKT 1	161	118	0	BAILEY COUNTY REC-EARTH INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1
17SP	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - DOUD SUB 115KV CKT 1	161	112	55	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	LAMB COUNTY REC-SOUTH OLTON - PLANT X STATION 115KV CKT 1	161	105	68	TOLK STATION EAST - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH REC-#20 115KV CKT 1	99	106	68	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - PLANT X STATION 230KV CKT 1
17SP	TOLK STATION EAST - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	497	105	107	SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE - WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	CUNNINGHAM STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	168	110	134	CUNNINGHAM STATION - LEA COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1
17SP	PLANT X STATION - TOLK STATION WEST 230KV CKT 1	497	102	163	TOLK STATION EAST - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1



Conclusion

The minimum cost of interconnecting the Customer's interconnection request is estimated at \$1,644,138 for Direct Assignment Facilities and Network Upgrades. At this time, the cost estimates for other Direct Assignment Facilities including those in Table 1 have not been defined by the Customer. In addition to the Customer's proposed interconnection facilities, the Customer will be responsible for installing a combined total of 40 Mvar of capacitor bank(s) in the Customer's substation for reactive support. As stated earlier, some but not all of the local projects that were previously queued are assumed to be in service in this Feasibility Study.

These interconnection costs do not include any cost that may be associated with short circuit or transient stability analysis. These studies will be performed if the Customer signs a System Impact Study Agreement. At the time of the System Impact Study, a better determination of the interconnection facilities may be available.

The required interconnection costs listed in Tables 1 and 2 and other upgrades associated with Network Constraints do not include all costs associated with the deliverability of the energy to final customers. These costs are determined by separate studies if the Customer submits a Transmission Service Request through Southwest Power Pool's OASIS.



Point of Interconnection Area Map




FIGURE 2. Point of Interconnection